# केंद्रीय सुचना आयोग CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग Baba Gangnath Marg मुनिरका, नई दिल्ली – 110067 Munirka, New Delhi-110067

VS

File No.:- CIC/NIMSM/C/2022/618779

In the matter of:

Rohit Mishra

.. Complainant

Central Public Information Officer National Institute of Micro Small & Medium Enterprises (NIMSME)

Yosufguda, Hyderabad – 500 045

...Respondent

		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
RTI application filed on	29/11/2021	(49)
CPIO replied on	29/01/2022	
First appeal filed on	12/02/2022	CO
First Appellate Authority order dated	Not on Record	
Complaint filed on	30/03/2022	
Date of Hearing	: 09/03/2022	
Date of Decision	09/03/2022	

The following were present:

Complainant: Not present

Respondent: Rajeev Kumar, Asst Registrar and CPIO - present over VC

# Information Sought:

The complainant has sought the following information with reference to Advt. No. NI-MSME/vacancy notification/2021 issued for recruitment to the post of Assistant Registrar (AR):

- To which category the post of AR belongs to UR/EWS/OBC/SC/ST?
- 2. On what basis essential qualifications for the post of AR have been decided?

3. What are the recruitment rules followed at NINSME? Provide at the Recruitment and Promotion Norms followed.

An Organisation of Ministry of MSME

Yousufguda, Hyderabad-500 045.

STATE / INWARD

1

#### 4. And other related information.

## **Grounds for filing Complaint**

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

# Submissions made by Complainant and Respondent during Hearing:

The complainant in his complaint filed before the Commission has stated that he had not received the required information. He also forwarded some documents to the Commission relating to the recruitment and promotion rules, 1988 and some other documents but no reference was made as to why these were sent. He also failed to attend the hearing despite due notice of hearing sent to him vide ED3031382295IN.

The CPIO reiterated the point wise reply given.

### **Observations:**

From a perusal of the record, it is noted that the CPIO had given a point wise reply, even to the extent of providing some third party information which is exempted from sharing as per Section-8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. It was also noted that all the 12 points were adequately responded to by the CPIO and therefore no further action lies. The complainant failed to appear for the hearing, so it was not possible to ascertain which point of his RTI application has not been replied to properly.

### Decision:

In view of the fact that all the information has been provided, no further action is called for and the CPIO's reply is upheld.

The complaint is disposed of accordingly.

Vanaja N. Sarna (वनजा एन. सरना) nformation Commissioner (सूचना आयुक्त)

Authenticated true copy

(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

A.K. Assija (ऐ. के. असीजा)

Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)

011-26182594/

दिनांक / Date 13 23 - 23

Copy to:

Rohit Mishra H No.9- 45, BC Colony, B Tandrapadu, Kurnool – 518 007, A.P.

ORMATION C